

This letter is in response to the watered down version of a national "no-call" list cited as proceeding #02-278. I would like a written response (NO FORM LETTER PLEASE) to my attention at the address above to address each of my concerns. Anything less will prompt a response to my US Congresswoman, Julia Carson, as well as Senators Dick Lugar and Evan Bayh.

I find it incredible that your agency would not take a version of the no-call list such as Indiana's as a model. I have virtually no telemarketing calls that have perpetually interrupted for many years the tranquility of our household. I no longer have to pay for Caller-ID from my phone company @ nearly \$10.00 a month. I know with certainty that the caller is someone I know or someone I would be willing to speak with. Your rule change threatens that peace and tranquility that I've come to enjoy.

I used to have as many as 20 calls per day (on weekends) and 16 calls per evening (Monday through Friday.) Then they bombarded my fax machine because my voice mail indicated that I have one on the same line. Now they've attacked my cell phone and I've had to pay to switch numbers.

Please explain:

- (1) Why you feel Indiana's telephone privacy law should be diluted?
- (2) How this will prevent cell phone attacks by telemarketers when the user has to pay for the call?
- (3) How do you intend to enforce the law that will ensure privacy of the nature that we have in Indiana?
- (4) What if I want to initiate my own calls as a customer of someone who "believes" me to be an "existing customer?" Why is it that that cannot be my own choice -- not that of some company?

I will expect a response within 30 days. Wanda Williams 11/12/2002

(3)